

VU Research Portal

Fashions, habits and changing preferences: a simulation of psychological factors

Janssen, M.A.; Jager, W.

2000

document version

Early version, also known as pre-print

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Janssen, M. A., & Jager, W. (2000). *Fashions, habits and changing preferences: a simulation of psychological factors*. (RM; No. 2000-31). Ruimtelijke Economie (RE).

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

SERIE RESEARCH MEMORANDA

Fashions, habits and changing preferences: simulations of
psychological factors affecting market dynamics

Marco A. Janssen
Wander Jager

Research Memorandum 2000-3 1

Oktober 2000



Fashions, habits and changing preferences: simulation of psychological factors affecting market dynamics

Marco A. Janssen

Department of Spatial Economics
Vrije Universiteit
De Boelelaan 1105
1081 HV Amsterdam
The Netherlands
m.janssen@econ.vu.nl
Phone: +31 20 44 46092
Fax: +31 20 44 46004

Wander Jager

Faculty of Management and Organization
University of Groningen
Landleven 5
9700 AV Groningen
w.jager@bdk.rug.nl

Abstract

Markets can show different types of dynamics, from quiet markets dominated by one or few products, to markets with constant penetration of new products. This paper explores the dynamics of markets from a psychological perspective using a multi-agent simulation model. The behavioural rules of the artificial consumers, the consumats, are based on a conceptual meta-theory from psychology. The artificial consumers have to choose each period between similar products. Products remain on the market as long as they maintain a minimum level of market share, else they will be replaced by a new product.

Assuming a population of consumats with different preferences, and social networks, the model simulates adoption of new products for alternative assumptions on behavioural rules. Furthermore, the consequences of changing preferences and the size of social networks are explored.

Results show that the behavioural rules that dominate the artificial consumer's decision making determine the resulting market dynamics, such as fashions, lock-in and unstable renewal. Results also show the importance of psychological variables like social networks, preferences and the need for identity to explain the dynamics of markets.

Keywords: social networks, changing preferences, consumer behaviour, lock-in

Acknowledgements

We thank Jasper Noordam for his contributions on a precursor of the model as presented in this paper. For more information on the consumat approach we refer to <http://go.to/consumats>

1. Introduction

In daily life consumers act on many different markets. Some markets are stable and are dominated by one product, other markets are very unstable where new products are introduced frequently. Prices alone can not explain the differences in market dynamics. Social processes appear to play an important role in market dynamics such as fads and fashions. For example, in toy industry, Tamagochi dominated for a brief period among young children, and this fad is disappeared in the same speed as it came. At the time of writing, Pokemon dominates many children's minds. It seems that besides price, also psychological factors have to be taken into consideration to explain diffusion of consumption patterns among social groups.

In this paper we argue that different types of cognitive processing, changes of preferences, the focus of the need for identity and social networks are important determinants leading to product choice and explaining variations in market dynamics.

This paper is a follow up of Janssen and Jager (1999) which focussed on the dynamics of lock-in. Traditionally, lock-in dynamics or network effects are studied by increasing returns to scale (Arthur, 1994). The consumption of a particular product decreases the costs for other consumers, which increases the likelihood that other consumers also adopt the particular product. A small disturbance in an emerging market that favours one of the products can lead to a lock-in of the product. These increasing returns to scale explain dominance of certain products or technologies such as the traditional examples of the QWERTY keyboard, the VHS videotape standard and the dominance of Microsoft software (Arthur, 1994; David, 1985). However, it does not explain 'local lock ins' such as different clothing styles in different groups of society, or the choice for a specific brand of cola. Dominance of products in social groups can often be explained from psychological factors stimulating social comparison and imitation.

Compared with Janssen and Jager (1999) we introduce the possibility of launching new products and the possibility of preferences of consumers to change. We will present a multi-agent simulation model in which simulated consumers, the so-called 'consumats', choose every time step which product to consume. The consumats decisions depend on how the products are expected to satisfy their needs. These needs are related to individual preferences as well as to the identity (social status) within a social network. We explore the consequences of different degrees of uncertainty tolerance and different minimum levels of satisfaction. As a sensitivity test we explore the consequences of changing preferences and connectiveness of social networks.

The paper is built up as follows. In Section 2 we will discuss the changes of preferences from consumers. The structure of social networks is discussed in Section 3. Section 4 describes the conceptual framework to simulate artificial consumers, the consumats. The simulation model is described in Section 5 and the experiments with the model are reported in Section 6. Section 7 concludes.

2. Consumer behaviour and changing preferences

Traditionally, economists consider preferences as fixed and given. The economist's task is to explore the consequences of a given set of preferences. Preference formation and change is considered to be a topic of psychological research. However, currently more and more economists discuss the relevance of preference change for economic research, and incorporate these ideas in their studies (Pollak, 1978; Bowles, 1998). These studies mainly focus on how markets and other economic institutions influence the evolution of preferences. However, there are no general models of changing preferences of consumers.

Psychological research states that the attributes that determine consumer preferences not only reside in the product, but also in the social setting in which the product is being used. Stated differently, the preference for a given product may partly depend on who else uses this product. The clothing-market with its fashions and high-status brands provides a clear example of a situation where preferences are strongly affected by 'role-models'. Here we may observe that the consumption of others has two effects. First of all, people may experience a sense of belongingness when consuming the same product as their friends, peers and family. This can be attributed to the need for 'identity', which is related to a sense of belonging and having common symbols (Max-Neef, 1992). However, this effect has no effect on the personal preferences. We assume that the second effect, which we call the *socialisation* effect, holds that the personal preferences change in the direction of the characteristics of the product that is consumed the most by one's friends, peers and family. People often learn to appreciate classical music if they perceive that acquaintances enjoy it very much (Gintis, 1972; Bowles and Gintis, 1986; Becker, 1996). Elias (1984) extensively discusses this evolution of preferences in his work on the civilisation process.

Besides via *socialisation*, consumer preferences may also change as a result of repeated consumption of a product. Zajonc (1968) demonstrated that the mere exposure to a stimulus, e.g., a nonsense word, people, abstract and representational visual images, and types of music, increases people's liking of these stimuli. This exposure effect has also been demonstrated in rats (Cross, Halcomb & Matter, 1967), suggesting that this is a deep-rooted principle in behavioural adaptation. Whereas people are born with certain preferences for food (e.g., sweet), many food preferences are being learned via cultural transmission (McCauley, Rozin, & Schwartz, 1994). Stevenson and Yeomans (1995) experimentally demonstrated that people's preferences for the chili-bum increased after consuming food that produced this sensation, without affecting the preferences for other foods. Zellner (1991) more generically states that the strong shift in preferences for foods that are initially disliked (e.g., coffee, chili) can be explained by processes of mere exposure, social factors and conditioning. These processes may cause a stronger preference shift for products that are initially disliked than for products that are initially slightly disliked (e.g., many vegetables such as broccoli). These results suggest that the consumption of opportunities that are initially not satisfying may become more satisfying after repeated consumption. This type of process will be denoted as the *exposure* effect.

Based on these findings in literature, we distinguish two types of mechanisms how consumer preferences can change. The first mechanism assumes that preferences change related to the need for identity. When agents in the social network of the consumer change their consumption, the consumer is assumed to follow. The second mechanism assumes that when a product is repeatedly being consumed, the need satisfying capacity increase over time, independent of the actual satisfaction of consumption.

3. Structure of social networks

Consumers interact with one another and thus learn about the consumptive behaviour of others by means of the social network they have. Two effects are typical for social networks, namely the small-world effect and the clustering effect (Newman, 1999). The small-world effect refers to the experience that despite the large population, the map of who knows whom is such that we are all very closely connected to one another. An interesting example is the analysis of the network of hyperlinks between documents on the World Wide Web. Despite about a billion of documents on the web, the average distance between documents was estimated only to be about 19 hyperlinks (Albert et al., 1999). Social networks seem to be structured in such a way that information can be spread fast over the social network. Another

aspect of social networks is the existence of clusters. People's circles of acquaintance tend to overlap to a great extent. Your friend's friends are likely also to be your friends.

Watts and Strogatz (1998) proposed a model for social networks, which fits very well on both small-world and clustering characteristics. Their suggestion was to build a model that is a regular lattice that has some degree of randomness in it (Figure 1). They construct the network by taking a lattice of periodic boundary conditions, and go through each of the links on the lattice and with some probability s that link is rewired by moving one of its ends to a new randomly chosen position. It can intuitively be seen that the network has both clustering and small-world effects. More formal discussions on the characteristics of these types of networks can be found in Watt and Strogatz (1998) and Newman (1999).




Figure 1: A Watts-Strogatz model of social networks.

4. The consumat approach

Many behavioural theories, like theories about human needs, motivational processes, social comparison theory, social learning theory, theory of reasoned action and so on, all explain parts of the processes that determine consumer behaviour. Social psychology is often discussed to be in a pre-paradigm state. According to some scholars there is a need for a meta-theory of human behaviour (Vallacher & Nowak, 1994). The consumat approach, is based on a comprehensive conceptual model of consumer behaviour (Figure 2), and as such tries to offer such a meta-theory (e.g. Jager, 2000).




Figure 2: The conceptual model of consumer behaviour.

Based on this conceptual model, a multi-agent simulation approach has been developed. The driving forces at the collective (macro) and the individual (micro) level determine the environmental setting for the consumer behaviour. The collective level refers to technical, economical, demographic, institutional and cultural developments, and thus describes the world the consumers are living in. The individual level refers to the consumers, which are equipped with needs which may be more or less satisfied, are confronted with opportunities to consume, and that have various abilities to consume the opportunities. Furthermore, consumers have a certain degree of uncertainty, depending on the difference between expected and actual outcomes of their behaviour.

The consumers may engage in different cognitive processes in deciding how to behave, depending on their level of need satisfaction and their experienced degree of uncertainty. Consumers having a low level of need satisfaction and a low degree of uncertainty are assumed to deliberate, that is: to determine the consequences of all possible decisions given a fixed time-horizon in order to maximise their level of need satisfaction. Consumers having a low level of need satisfaction and a high degree of uncertainty are assumed to socially compare. This implies the comparison of own previous behaviour with the previous behaviour of consumers having about similar abilities, and selecting that

behaviour which yields a maximal level of need satisfaction. When consumats have a high level of need satisfaction, but also a high level of uncertainty, they will imitate the behaviour of other similar consumats. Finally, consumats having a high level of need satisfaction and a low level of uncertainty habitually repeat their previous behaviour. When consumats engage in reasoned behaviour (deliberation and social comparison) they will update the information in their mental map, which serves as a memory to store information on abilities, opportunities, and characteristics of other agents.

After the consumption of opportunities, a new level of need satisfaction will be derived, and changes will occur regarding their abilities, opportunities and the social and physical environment, which will affect the consumption in succeeding time steps. In the following section we will formalise the consumat approach for a dynamic market with a fixed number of products.

5. The model

A simple dynamic market system is developed in which 100 consumats consume one product on every time step. Products are assumed to differ from each other on two dimensions, d_1 and d_2 , which are defined for a range from 0 to 1. For simplicities sake a fixed amount of 10 products are assumed to be available on the market. The products have randomised characteristics on these dimensions. When a product is introduced on the market it gets an introduction period t_i to built up a market share. After this introduction period the product should have a minimum market share of m_{min} in order to remain on the market, else it is being replaced by a new product.

The survival of products on the market depends on whether consumats consume the products. The model below describes the behaviour of one consumat. The choice which product to consume depends on the expected need satisfaction by consumption of the particular product. We assume that prices of the products are similar and are not the discriminating factor between products for the consumer in order to make a choice. The level of need satisfaction depends on an individual need and a social need. The individual need is assumed to be the personal taste of the consumat. Each consumat has a specific personal taste for each of the two dimensions of the product. The level of need satisfaction for personal taste of consumat i $N_{p,i}$ depends on the difference between the dimensions of the consumed product and the preferred characteristics by the consumat, $p_{1,i}$ and $p_{2,i}$:

$$N_{p,i} = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{(p_{1,i} - d_1)^2 + (p_{2,i} - d_2)^2}}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (1)$$

The level of need satisfaction for the social need $N_{s,i}$ depends on how many other consumats in the social network of the consumat consume the same product. The social network is implemented in line with the Watts Strogatz model (Figure 1). A social network of a particular consumat consists of the neighbours of the consumat, that is the directly linked agents in the Watts Strogatz model. The level of need satisfaction of the social need, N_s , is equal to ms_i , the market share in the social network of the product that is consumed by the consumat itself. This holds when we assume that N_i is higher the more consumats in the social network consume the same product. We acknowledge that there are various ways to implement the identity need. However, for the purpose of this paper we decided to use the approach as described above.

$$N_{s,i} = ms_i \quad (2)$$

The total level of need satisfaction is equal to

$$N_i = \beta_i \cdot N_{s,i} + (1 - \beta_i) \cdot N_{p,i} \quad (3)$$

Where $\beta_i \in [0,1]$ weights the influence of the social need and the personal taste need. When β_i equals 0.5, both needs weight equally in the total level of need satisfaction.

The stability of the environment people live in may vary considerably. When the environment is unstable, people may experience different consequences of their behaviour than expected. Here we define uncertainty, U_i , as the difference between expected N_i , which is assumed to be equal to N_i at time $t-1$, and the actual N_i :

$$U_i = (N_i - N_{i,t-1})^2 \quad (4)$$

The threshold parameters N_{min} , the minimum level for satisfaction, and U_{max} , the uncertainty tolerance level, are given. Given the values of N_i and U_i the type of cognitive processing of the consumat can be defined. A complicating factor is the fact that products disappear from the market. In such cases the consumats can not habitually repeat consumption, and in case of social processing, these products can not be considered as candidates for adoption.

- *Repetition* (satisfied & certain: $N_i > N_{min}$; $U_i < U_{max}$)

The consumat habitually consumes the product it consumed last time step.

- *Deliberation* (dissatisfied & certain: $N_i < N_{min}$; $U_i < U_{max}$)

The consumat will evaluate the expected N_i of each product, and consumes the product with the highest score. When two or more products have the highest score, the choice is at random between the candidate products.

- *Imitation* (satisfied & uncertain: $N_i > N_{min}$; $U_i > U_{max}$)

The consumat evaluates the product which is consumed the most in its social network. The product, which is consumed the most in the previous time step, will be chosen for current consumption. In case of more than one candidate, the choice is at random between the candidate products.

- *Social comparison* (dissatisfied & uncertain: $N_i < N_{min}$; $U_i > U_{max}$)

The consumat evaluates the product that is consumed the most in its social network. A candidate product is a product that will at least reach an expected N_i as the consumats' N_i in the last time step. The candidate product with the highest market share will be chosen for consumption, and in case of more than one of these products, the choice will be at random.

Preference change

As described in Section 2 two mechanisms can be distinguished to determine preference change. These are formalised as follows:

- (1) *Socialisation*: The value $p_{1,i}$ and $p_{2,i}$ are equal to d_1 and d_2 of the product that has the largest market share in the social network. In case of more than one product that has the largest market share, the choice is made at random.

- (2) Exposure: The value of the preference $p_{j,i}$ depends on the difference between the consumed product characteristic $d_{c,i}$ and the preference, and the preference is adjusted at rate γ_c .

$$\frac{\partial p_{j,i}}{\partial t} = (d_{c,i} - p_{j,i}) \cdot \gamma_c \quad (5)$$

6. Experiments

Consider a market of 100 consumats and 10 products. The minimum market share for a product to survive is set at 10%, and the introduction period is equal to 5 time steps. Preferences remain constant over the time-period. A Watts-Strogatz social network is defined where agents are connected with their four nearest neighbours and randomly to each possible other agents, using a probability of 1%. The value of β_i , which weights the two needs, is drawn randomly for each consumat from a uniform distribution between 0 and 1. The preferences of the consumats for dimensions 1 and 2 are also drawn from a uniform distribution between 0 and 1. The same holds for the characteristics of the 10 products.

Single run default experiments

We will first present a number of single run experiments to demonstrate the typical market dynamics for different conditions. These conditions are based on different values of N_{min} and U_{max} , and named after the cognitive process that is being favoured by these settings. Five conditions are being used: (1) *imitators*: $N_{min}=0.1$ and $U_{max}=0.1$; (2) *repeaters*: $N_{min}=0.1$ and $U_{max}=0.9$; (3) *comparers*: $N_{min}=0.9$ and $U_{max}=0.1$; (4) *deliberaters*: $N_{min}=0.9$ and $U_{max}=0.9$; (5) *random*: N_{min} and U_{max} are drawn random between 0 and 1, using a uniform distribution. For each condition we will depict the average distribution of cognitive processes and the market shares of the 10 products for a typical model run.

Imitators

Figure 3 summarises the results for a typical imitators run. Local lock-ins can be observed, where a few products end up dominating a local part of market (Figure 3b). Because the consumats are easily satisfied ($N_{min} = 0.1$), but also very quickly uncertain ($U_{max} = 0.1$), they are mainly engaging in imitation during the first time steps (Figure 3a). This imitation causes that after about 10 timesteps that consumats consume in clusters the same product, thereby pushing the alternative products out of the market. Because they consume in clusters the same product, uncertainty drops, making the consumats certain. Moreover, the chosen products become more attractive because of their higher need satisfying capacity for identity, and as a result the overall level of need satisfaction increases. Being certain and satisfied, the consumats exclusively engage in repetition after time-step 20, thereby creating a stable market. Because a lot of consumats consume the same product, thereby satisfying their need for identity, their overall N_i is moderately high, despite the fact that products may exist that would further increase their level of need satisfaction for taste.

Examples of such locked-in markets refer typically to dominance of products in local domains of social networks, such as clothing styles, choice for certain brands of cola and choices for modes of transportation.




Figure 3a: Fraction of cognitive processing for the *imitator* condition




Figure 3b: Market share of products for the *imitator* condition

Repeaters

In Figure 4 the results for a typical model run with **repeaters** are being shown. Here we see a market in which four products have relative stable market-shares, and at the ‘bottom’ of the market several products are introduced and disappear after a while (Figure 4b). Because the consumats have a high uncertainty tolerance ($U_{max} = 0.9$), they do not engage in social processing. Most consumers engage in repetition, and hence the market share of a number of opportunities is very stable, as can be seen in Figure 4b. A number of consumats sometimes engages in deliberation. Those consumats initially consumed a product that disappeared from the market because of the low market share. Those consumats engage deliberation and choose the best one. However, they might have chosen one of the new enteries which are not likely to remain after the introductory process. Therefore deliberation occurs on a regular frequency (related to introductory period) for a decreasing number of consumats.

Because many consumers consume different products, the consumats’ network will not display uniform product consumption. As a consequence the level of need satisfaction for identity will remain at a relative low level.

Such a market resembles the daily shopping of most people, and refers to products such as coffee, toothpaste and milk. Here, people may use different brands and buy them in a habitual manner, not deliberating about it. Moreover, people are quite certain of the outcomes of consumption. Consequentially, the market is not being dominated by uncertainty and related social processes. When a consumer is not satisfied with a product, he/she may engage in deliberation and decide to use another product, which is then being used in a habitual manner.




Figure 4a: Fraction of cognitive processing for *repeater* condition




Figure 4b: Market share of products for the *repeater* condition

Comparers

Figure 5 displays the results for a typical model run with **comparers**. Here, the consumats are very hard to satisfy ($N_{min} = 0.9$), and very quickly uncertain ($U_{max} = 0.1$). As a consequence, the consumats engage primarily in social comparison and deliberation, as can be seen in Figure 5a. Regarding the market dynamics we observe large oscillations (Figure 5b). What can be clearly seen is that one product has the largest share for almost the entire simulation period. Only around $t = 30-35$ the product denoted with the dotted line has the largest market-share. In this condition the social comparison process causes that many consumats are starting to consume the same product. This equal consumption leads to a lower uncertainty, stimulating the consumats to engage in deliberation. As a consequence, a number of consumats perceive new attractive products, which, when attractive enough, may conquer a relative large market-share due to comparison processes. However, after some time the 'old' dominating product may push the other product out of the market because it better satisfies individual needs.

This type of market typically resembles fashion markets for repetitively bought products (clothing, hair-cuts, Pokemon cards, home decoration), where people are at given moments uncertain regarding what is in vogue, and think it is very important that they consume in a fashionable manner. Especially young adolescents, who are often very uncertain regarding their developing identity, such fashion effects may be very important in their consumptive behaviour.




Figure 5a: Fraction of cognitive processing for the *comparer* condition




Figure 5b: Market share of products for the *comparer* condition

Deliberators

Figure 6 show the results of a typical model run with *deliberators*. Here we see an unstable market, where products may remain at the market for a prolonged period of time, but without having a fixed market share (Figure 6b). Because the consumats here are very hard to satisfy ($N_{min} = 0.9$), and very certain ($U_{max} = 0.9$), they are engaging almost exclusively in deliberation, as can be seen in Figure 6a. This causes that new products may immediately attract a number of consumats for which this product better satisfies their preferences. Because the consumats that consume the new product previously may have consumed different products, the market shares of several other products may drop somewhat.

This simulation run appears to capture the dynamics of markets of durable goods (e.g., washing machines and refrigerators), and financial services (e.g., insurances and loans). Here, people are motivated to get the best value for their money, whereas they are quite certain about the outcomes. Hence, they are not likely to engage in social processing, but rather deliberate about what would be their optimal consumption pattern. This results in a continuously evolving market, where market-shares are unstable.




Figure 6a: Fraction of cognitive processing for the *deliberator* condition




Figure 6b: Market share of products for the *deliberator* condition

Random

Figure 7 shows the results for a typical run with *random* consumats. It can be seen that that when the thresholds determining the cognitive processing (N_{min} and U_{max}) vary over the population of consumats, all four type off cognitive processes are used among the population of consumats, although repetition and deliberation dominate. The results show that the market dynamics are a combination of Figure 4 (mainly repetition) and Figure 6 (mainly deliberation).




Figure 7a: Fraction of cognitive processing for the *random* condition




Figure 7b: Market share of products for the *random* condition

100 runs default experiments

In the previous section we showed some typical runs, demonstrating how different settings of N_{min} and U_{max} yielded different market dynamics, varying from lock-ins of a few products, fashion markets to unstable markets with a lot of renewal. In this section we will report the results of 100 runs for the five conditions described in the previous section in order to obtain a more thorough picture of the effects. A simple indicator of the type of market that emerges is the number of products that succeed in surviving some time after the introduction period. In case of a lock-in of one product, this amount of products will be equal to one, but in case of unstable markets this can go up to 40 products in a simulation period of 100 time steps, as products may be replaced after some time.

The Figures 8 depict the results for the 100 runs for each of the five conditions. The results are in line with the typical model runs as discussed in the previous section. For the *imitator* condition we observe that only about three or four products survive the introduction period, while in the *repeaters* condition this amount of is equal to five or six. These low numbers can be explained by local lock-ins of the products. The conditions with *comparers* and *deliberaters* show much higher numbers of products. Especially in the condition with *deliberaters* are the individual tastes important, leading to small and short-lived market shares.




Figure 8: Distributions of number of products that survived (some time) following the introduction period during 100 time-steps, for 100 runs per condition.

Experimenting with changing preferences

A number of experiments have been performed to explore the consequences of changing preferences using both types of possible preference change, namely via the process of *socialisation* and the process of exposure. In Table 1 we give an overview of the number of products that succeed in surviving some time after the introduction period for the default runs as discussed in the previous section, and the two preference change types.

In case of *imitators*, both types of preference change increase the chance of a product to lock in. Preference change leads to higher satisfaction of consuming the products of neighbours in the social network (*socialisation*), or for consuming the same product as in the previous time step (exposure). Because in the condition with *repeaters* the consumats will mainly engage in repetition, these results will not change. In the condition with *comparers*, there is a high chance of lock-in when preferences change through *socialisation*, whereas in case of *exposure* the market remains unstable. Social comparison and *socialisation* stimulates each other into a lock-in. For the *deliberators* we find that introducing *socialisation* yields a bifurcation in the results. The number of penetrated products is concentrated around 5, and around 15. When a critical number of consumats is satisfied, they will engage in repetition, and thereby form a critical mass that will affect the preferences of other consumats via *socialisation*, and hence stimulate the lock-in of products. When this critical mass is not reached, the consumats remain switching between products, thereby not reaching a stable market. In this condition the *exposure* type of preference change hardly affects the market dynamics.

Table 1: Number of products which penetrate the market in a period of 100 time steps, for the default case, and for *socialisation* and *exposure* as types of changing preferences, for the five conditions.

	<i>Imitators</i>	<i>Repeaters</i>	<i>Comparers</i>	<i>Deliberators</i>	<i>Random</i>
<i>Default</i>	43 .	50 .	16.7	30.3	79 .
<i>Socialisation</i>	38 .	50 .	3.8	5.8	41 .
<i>Exposure</i>	39 .	51 .	15.4.	29.8	81 .

The clustering of consumption also draws a picture of market dynamics. An indicator of this clustering is the fraction of closest two neighbours consuming different products. When this fraction is equal to 1 then the neighbours left and right in the circle consume a different product. When this fraction is equal to zero, every consumat consumes the same product. In Table 2, the fractions are depicted for all five conditions. These results show the large degree of clustering in case of *imitators*. Also in the conditions of *comparers*, *deliberators* and *random* we observe a strong clustering of consumption when preferences change according to the *socialisation* mechanism.

Table 2: Fraction of closest two neighbours consuming a different product at time step 100, for the default case, and for *socialisation* and *exposure* as types of changing preferences. for the five conditions.

	<i>Imitators</i>	<i>Repeaters</i>	<i>Comparers</i>	<i>Deliberators</i>	<i>Random</i>
<i>Default</i>	0.34	0.92	0.74	0.94	0.88
<i>Socialisation</i>	0.27	0.86	0.27	0.26	0.29
<i>Exposure</i>	0.30	0.92	0.73	0.94	0.88

Experimenting with the size of the network

Another factor that is supposed to affect market dynamics is the size of the network. The social network consists of links between consumats. The more links, the larger the social network and the faster information can be processed. In the previous experiments the consumats had contact with their four nearest neighbours and had a 1 % chance, s , of having contact with any of the other consumats. With this setting the consumats had on the average 5 others in their social network. In this experiment we vary the chance of contact with any other consumat. Setting s at 0 % implies that the consumat has only contact with its closest four neighbours. Setting s at 10 % implies that the consumat has contact with on the average about 10 other consumats, additional to the four closest neighbours. In the latter condition the consumat has contact with about 14 other consumats.

In most of the five conditions we observe that the more links in the social network, the lower the amount of products that have survived (Table 3), indicating a lock-in. Especially when the consumats engage a lot in social processing (*imitators* and *comparers*), larger networks leads to faster dominance of one or a few products.

Table 3: Number of products which penetrate the market in a period of 100 time steps for the default case, and two alternative degrees of social networks, for the five conditions.

	<i>Imitators</i>	<i>Repeaters</i>	<i>Comparers</i>	<i>Deliberators</i>	<i>Random</i>
S=0%	41 .	50 .	17.9	30.1	79 .
S= 1% (default)	43 .	50 .	16.7	30.3	7.2
S=10%	11 .	50 .	82 .	29.9	10.9 .

The indicator values of Table 4 show that the level of small-world effect has only significant impact in case consumats mainly imitate. In the case of more connections all consumats become to consume the same due to a global lock-in.

Table 4: Fraction of closest two neighbours consuming a different product at time-step 100 for the default case, and two alternative degrees of social networks, for the five conditions.

	<i>Imitators</i>	<i>Repeaters</i>	<i>Comparers</i>	<i>Deliberators</i>	<i>Random</i>
S=0%	0.33	0.92	0.73	0.94	0.87
S= 1% (default)	0.34	0.92	0.74	0.94	0.88
S=10%	0.00	0.92	0.71	0.94	0.90

7. Conclusions

This paper demonstrates that market dynamics can be highly susceptible to the cognitive processes that dominate the consumers’ decision making process. Products that are relevant for peoples’ identity need, and that quickly satisfy consumers’ needs, are most likely to lock in. Especially when people imitate the probability of a lock in is high. Products that fit these dynamics are, e.g., coffee, toothpaste and milk for the *repeater* condition, and public visible products such as clothing styles, brands of cola and mode of transportation for the *imitator* condition. It is often very hard to enter such a fixed a market with a new product. A strategy that may be viable is to attach a new need satisfying capacity to the product image, thereby adding a motive to buy this product. The suggestion that drinking a certain brand of cola (e.g., Pepsi Max) adds to your experienced freedom in life is a typical example of such a ‘motive adding strategy’, just as claiming that a certain brand of toothpaste adds to your sense of confidence.

It appears that a preference change of consumers hardly affects these market dynamics. The size of the network has a serious effect in the *imitator* condition. This effect suggests that in a market that is (initially) dominated by processes of imitation, a larger social network causes a fewer products to lock in. This network effect may partly explain why markets of products who are being used in a larger network, such as software or car-fuel, end up with a very few products dominating the market.

When consumers are less quickly satisfied, they will engage more in reasoned processing (*deliberation* or *social comparison*), and hence they will more quickly change their consumption. This yields a less stable market, however, in the *comparer* condition we see fashions occurring, which can be conceived as a temporal lock-in. Hence, in fashion markets the number of products will not be as large as in a market of pure *deliberators*. In these markets it appears to be less difficult to introduce a new product. Within a fashion market it appears to be optimal to introduce a new product when the ‘old’ product is very popular. Whereas in the ‘growth’ phase of the popular product the frequent social processing propels a further growth, when the ‘top’ has reached, more consumers are likely to deliberate about alternative products. When a critical mass of consumers appears to like the newly introduced product, there is a high chance that this product will also become in fashion. However, the fashion will be temporarily, and the product will ultimately disappear from the market. This is not the case in the *deliberator* condition, where a product may remain on the market for a prolonged period of time, as long as it is for a certain number of consumers the most attractive product. The more a new product satisfies the needs of consumers better than existing products, the larger its market share will become. For existing products the strategy of innovation may be very tactical to respond to changes in the market, and to maximise the market share.

The simulation results suggest that when preferences change according to the *socialisation* effect, market dynamics of both *comparers* and *deliberators* change towards a lock-in of a few products. The market of music may provide a typical example, where listening to the same style of music as your peers (e.g., dance, baroque, metal) not only satisfies the need for identity (belonging to the group), but also elicits changes in the preferences for this musical style. This *socialisation* effect may be more manifest when the product in question is closer related to the belief system that people have (e.g., the rebellious value of driving a Harley Davidson motorcycle). The simulation results here also indicate that a larger social network only affects the *comparers*, suggesting that fashion markets tend to stabilise when information is spreading more quickly.

The results of the simulation experiments illustrate the importance of psychological factors of consumers to explain variety of market dynamics. We are aware of the explorative nature of this research. We hope that this paper has shown the use of social simulation to explore possible behaviour of the system. The hypotheses that result from the model exercises can be of help for setting up new experimental studies. The combination of simulation models and experimental work is expected to generate synergetic effects which is necessary to understand complex behavioural systems

References

- Albert, R., H. Jeong and A.-L. Barabasi (1999), Diameter of the world-wide web, *Nature* 401, 130-131.
- Arthur, W.B. (1994), *Increasing Returns and Path Dependence in the Economy*, The University of Michigan Press.
- Becker, G.S., (1996), *Accounting for tastes*. Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press.
- Bowles, S. (1998), Endogenous Preferences: The Cultural Consequences of Markets and other Economic Institutions. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 36, 75- 111.
- Bowles, S., and Gintis, H., (1976), *Schooling in capitalist America: Educational reform and the contradictions of economic life*. New York: Basic Books.
- Cross, H.A., Halcomb, C.G., and Matter, W.W. (1967), Imprinting or exposure learning in rats given early auditory stimulation. *Psychonomic Science*, 7 233-234
- David, P.A. (1985), *Clio and the Economics of QWERTY*. AEA Papers and proceedings, Vol. 75, no. 2, 332-337.
- Elias, N., (1984), *The civilizing process (translated from German)*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Gintis, H., (1972). A radical analysis of welfare economics and individual development. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 86(4), 572-599.
- Jager, W. (2000), *Modelling consumer behaviour*, PhD thesis, University of Groningen.
- Janssen, M.A. and W. Jager (1999), An integrated approach to simulating behavioural processes: A case study of the lock-in of consumption patterns, *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation*, vol. 2, no. 2: www.soc.surrey.ac.uk/JASSS/2/2/2.html
- Max-Neef, M. (1992), Development and human needs, In: P. Ekins and M. Max-Neef (eds.): *Real-life economics: Understanding wealth creations*. London, New York: Routledge.
- McCauley, C., Rozin, P., and Schwartz, B., (1994), *On the Origin and Nature of Preferences and Values*. Unpublished paper, October 1994.
- Newman, M.E.J. (1999), *Small Worlds – The Structure of Social Networks*, Santa Fe Institute working paper, 99-12-080, Santa Fe, NM
- Pollak, R.A. (1978), Endogenous Tastes in Demand and Welfare Analysis, *American Economic Review*, Vol. 68(2), 374-379.
- Stevenson, R.J., and Yeomans, M.R. (1995), Does exposure enhance liking for the chilli bum? *Appetite*. Vol 24(2), 107-120.
- Vallacher, R.R. and A. Nowak (1994), The chaos in social psychology, In: R.R. Vallacher and A. Nowak (eds.), *Dynamical systems in social psychology*, San Diego: Academic Press, Inc
- Watts,* D.J. and S.H. Strogatz (1998), Collective dynamics of “small-world” networks, *Nature* 393,440.442.
- Zellner, D.A. (1991), How foods get to be liked: Some general mechanisms and some special cases. In: R.C. Bolles, (Ed). *The hedonics of taste*. (pp. 199-217). Hillsdale, NJ, USA: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Zajonc, R.B., (1968), The attitudinal effects of mere exposure. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 9 (2), 1-27.